

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/657,768	09/08/2003	Daniel L. Cox	01035.0036-01 3956			
22852 75	22852 7590 01/19/2006			EXAMINER		
	HENDERSON, FARA	MILLER, CHERYL L				
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3738			
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/657,7	68	COX, DANIEL L.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Cheryl Mi	ller	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF Th R 1.136(a). In no ev h. riod will apply and w latute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEC	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on games. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unco	This action is rowance except	ion-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 23-46 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-25,27,29,32,34,37,39-43 and a Claim(s) 26,28,30,31,33,35,36,38,44 and a Claim(s) are subject to restriction and papers	drawn from co 46 is/are reject 45 is/are object	ed. ed to.					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) t rrection is requir	pe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
		C LXammer, 140	ote the attached Office	Action of form 1	0-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date 10/28/04, 9/8/03.		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)			

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Applicant's amendment to the specification, incorporating the priority application 09/713,708 is acknowledged. However, the patent number (6,626,937) was not included in the statement. It is requested that the applicant update priority in the specification upon response.

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 23-25, 27, 29, 32, 34, 37, 39-43, and 46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,937 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applications claims are only different by a different preamble, that is intended use for the device (balloon expandable stent verses a medical device). Since the structural elements in the body of the claim are the same (expandable intraluminal element with specific phase transformation temperatures), this is an obviously type double patenting situation.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,768 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26, 28, 30, 31, 33, 35, 36, 38, 44, and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23-25, 27, 29, 32, 34, 37, 39-43, and 46 would be allowable if the double patenting rejection is overcome by submission of a terminal disclaimer.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl Miller

PRIMARY EXAMINER